

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/088,042 07/11/2002 Murray Edward Bruce Leighton THOM-0022 6575 23377 **EXAMINER** 7590 07/28/2006 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1650 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 3721

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ر	/
ر	/

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/088,042	LEIGHTON, MURRAY EDWARD BRUCE			
	omoo nodon odmina.y	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
		Christopher R. Harmon	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[M. Bosnopsiyo to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2006					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 May 2006</u> . Γhis action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3)□						
الـ(3	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 11 is/are pending in the app	olication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:					
a)ı	□ All b)□ Some c)□ None or. 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents.	s have been received				
			on No			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t/e)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	O-152)		
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date	o) L. J Ouler				

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Great Britain on July 15, 2000. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 0017307.0 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, presenting lengths of fastener transversely to the elongate web, sealing jaws longer than a body portion of the fastener, passing the combination between sealing jaws etc. should be shown. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date

Art Unit: 3721

of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "sealing jaws which are dimensioned to be longer than the body portion fastener along the path of movement" in lines 6-8. It is confusing and indefinite as to which path of movement is being referred to ie. body portion

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as being anticipated by Ausnit et al. (US 6,244,021).

Art Unit: 3721

Ausnit et al. disclose a method and apparatus for sealing a reclosable fastener 92 to a substrate comprising presenting lengths of a fastener transversely to a substrate (see figure 6); locating the lengths by attaching flange/base 100, 102 portions to the substrate by heat sealing devices 104; (body portions 88, 96 are free); and subsequently passing the fastener and substrate between a pair of displaceable sealing jaws 104 (longer than the body of the fastener); see figures 5-8.

Note the combined substrate and fastener are considered passed between sealing bars 104 which are displaceable. Furthermore, the limitation "to effect a sealing... when moved into contact therewith" (claim 1, last line) is not a process step therefore the sealing jaws of Ausnit et al. are considered capable of effecting a sealing in such a manner as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3-4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ausnit et al. (US 6,244,021).

It is not clear if the attaching process of Ausnit takes place simultaneously or in sequence. Given the likelihood that one sealing jaw would contact the respective web before the other (however slight) the limitation of initially attaching one flange to one

Art Unit: 3721

web is considered anticipated or in the least obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for multiple reasons including heating a thicker base portion, positioning of the top web, etc.

Regarding claim 11, Ausnit provides for sealing/attaching flange ends by seal bar 108, however is after the seal bars 104; see figure 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the seal bar 108 upstream sealing jaws 104, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Note also with the rearrangement in considering claims 3-4, the seal bar 108 is located above therefore initially contacts one web to a flange 88 before sealing a body portion of the fastener.

8. Claims 3, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ausnit et al. (US 6,244,021) in view of Kinigakis et al. (US 6,357,914).

Ausnit et al. does not directly disclose initially attaching a single flange to the web substrate or an engaging element with an upstanding post and heel however Kinigakis et al. teach sealing a single flange 72 to web substrates 12 and 14; see figure 10. Each engaging element 26 and 28 have upstanding posts engageable with heels of opposite element; see figure 2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the flange construction of Kinigakis et al. in the invention to Ausnit et al. in order for forming a slightly modified product bag with increased integrity.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ch

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700